FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

VOLUME 2 OF 3



FLAGLER COUNTY, FLORIDA AND INCORPORATED AREAS

COMMUNITY NAME	COMMUNITY NUMBER
BEVERLY BEACH, TOWN OF	120569
BUNNELL, CITY OF	120086
FLAGLER BEACH, CITY OF	120087
FLAGLER COUNTY UNINCORPORATED AREAS	120085
MARINELAND, TOWN OF	120570
PALM COAST, CITY OF	120684





June 6, 2018

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY NUMBER 12035CV002B

Version Number 2.3.3.2

TABLE OF CONTENTS Volume 1

<u>Page</u>

<u>Page</u>

SEC	FION 1.0 – INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	The National Flood Insurance Program	1
1.2	Purpose of this Flood Insurance Study Report	2
1.3	Jurisdictions Included in the Flood Insurance Study Project	2
1.4	Considerations for using this Flood Insurance Study Report	5
2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	Base Flood Elevations	15 15 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 26 27 28
SEC	FION 3.0 – INSURANCE APPLICATIONS	29
3.1	National Flood Insurance Program Insurance Zones	29
3.2	Coastal Barrier Resources System	29
SEC	FION 4.0 – AREA STUDIED	30
4.1	Basin Description	30
4.2	Principal Flood Problems	30
4.3	Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures	31
4.4	Levees	32
SEC 5.1 5.2 5.3	FION 5.0 - ENGINEERING METHODSHydrologic AnalysesHydraulic AnalysesCoastal Analyses5.3.1Total Stillwater Elevations5.3.2Waves5.3.3Coastal Erosion5.3.4Wave Hazard Analyses	33 33 38 82 83 87 88 88
	E:	

Figures

Figure 1: FIRM Panel Index	7
Figure 2: FIRM Notes to Users	8
Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM	11
Figure 4: Floodway Schematic	23

Volume 1, continued

Figure 5: Wave Runup Transect Schematic	26
Figure 6: Coastal Transect Schematic	28
Figure 7: Frequency Discharge-Drainage Area Curves	36
Figure 8: 1% Annual Chance Total Stillwater Elevations for Coastal Areas	84

<u>Tables</u>

<u>Page</u>

Table 1: Listing of NFIP Jurisdictions	2
Table 2: Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report	16
Table 3: Flood Zone Designations by Community	29
Table 4: Coastal Barrier Resources System Information	29
Table 5: Basin Characteristics	30
Table 6: Principal Flood Problems	30
Table 7: Historic Flooding Elevations	31
Table 8: Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures	32
Table 9: Levees	32
Table 10: Summary of Discharges	34
Table 11: Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevations	37
Table 12: Stream Gage Information used to Determine Discharges	37
Table 13: Summary of Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses	39
Table 14: Roughness Coefficients	82
Table 15: Summary of Coastal Analyses	82
Table 16: Tide Gage Analysis Specifics	86
Table 17: Coastal Transect Parameters	89

Volume 2

<u>Page</u>

5.4	Alluvial Fan Analyses	97
SECT 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5	ION 6.0 – MAPPING METHODS Vertical and Horizontal Control Base Map Floodplain and Floodway Delineation Coastal Flood Hazard Mapping FIRM Revisions 6.5.1 Letters of Map Amendment 6.5.2 Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill	97 97 98 99 115 118 118 118
SECT 7.1 7.2	 6.5.3 Letters of Map Revisions 6.5.4 Physical Map Revisions 6.5.5 Contracted Restudies 6.5.6 Community Map History ION 7.0 – CONTRACTED STUDIES AND COMMUNITY COORDINATION Contracted Studies Community Meetings	119 120 120 120 120 122 122 123

iii

Volume 2, continued

SECTION 8.0 – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	125
SECTION 9.0 – BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES	126

<u>Figures</u>

<u>Page</u>

95

Figure 9: Transect Location Map

<u>Tables</u>

<u>Page</u>

Table 18: Summary of Alluvial Fan Analyses	97
Table 19: Results of Alluvial Fan Analyses	97
Table 20: Countywide Vertical Datum Conversion	98
Table 21: Stream-Based Vertical Datum Conversion	98
Table 22: Base Map Sources	99
Table 23: Summary of Topographic Elevation Data for Selected Streams	100
Table 24: Floodway Data	101
Table 25: Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams	115
Table 26: Summary of Coastal Transect Mapping Considerations	116
Table 27: Incorporated Letters of Map Change	119
Table 28: Community Map History	121
Table 29: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report	122
Table 30: Community Meetings	124
Table 31: Map Repositories	125
Table 32: Additional Information	126
Table 33: Bibliography and References	127

Volume 2 Exhibits

Flood Profiles	Panel
Big Mulberry Branch	01-03 P
Black Branch	04-05 P
Black Point Swamp	06 P
Bull Creek	07-09 P
Bull Creek Tributary	10-12 P
Bulow Creek	13-15 P
Bulow Creek Tributary	16 P
Graham Swamp	17-19 P
Tributary to Intracoastal Waterway	20 P
Haw Creek	21-22 P
Middle Haw Creek	23-24 P
Middle Haw Creek Tributary No. 1	25 P

Volume 2, continued Exhibits, continued

Flood Profiles Middle Haw Creek Tributary No. 2 Parker Canal Sixteenmile Creek Sweetwater Branch Wadsworth/Korona Canal	Panel 26 P 27-29 P 30 P 31-32 P 33-35 P
Coastal Transect Profiles Transect 1 Transect 2 Transect 3 Transect 4 Transect 5 Transect 6 Transect 7 Transect 7 Transect 8 Transect 9 Transect 10 Transect 11	Panel 1-2 P 3-4 P 5-6 P 7-8 P 9-10 P 11-12 P 13-14 P 15-16 P 17-18 P 19-20 P 21-22 P
Volume 3 <u>Exhibits</u>	
Coastal Transect Profiles Transect 12 Transect 13 Transect 14 Transect 15 Transect 16 Transect 17 Transect 18 Transect 19 Transect 20 Transect 21 Transect 22 Transect 22 Transect 23 Transect 24 Transect 25 Transect 26 Transect 27 Transect 28 Transect 29 Transect 30 Transect 31 Transect 32 Transect 33	$\begin{array}{r} \underline{\text{Panel}}\\ 23-24 \ \text{P}\\ 25-26 \ \text{P}\\ 27-28 \ \text{P}\\ 29-30 \ \text{P}\\ 31-32 \ \text{P}\\ 33-34 \ \text{P}\\ 35-36 \ \text{P}\\ 37-38 \ \text{P}\\ 40 \ \text{P}\\ 41 \ \text{P}\\ 42-43 \ \text{P}\\ 42-43 \ \text{P}\\ 44 \ \text{P}\\ 45-46 \ \text{P}\\ 47 \ \text{P}\\ 48 \ \text{P}\\ 49-50 \ \text{P}\\ 51-53 \ \text{P}\\ 54-56 \ \text{P}\\ 57-59 \ \text{P}\\ 60-62 \ \text{P}\\ 63-65 \ \text{P}\\ \end{array}$


Volume 3, continued Exhibits, continued


Coastal Transect Profiles Transect 34 Transect 35 Transect 36 Transect 36A Transect 37 Transect 38 Panel 66-68 P 69-71 P 72 P 73 P 74-76 P 77-79 P


Published Separately

Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)

Figure 9: Transect Location Map








0126E, 0127E, 0129E, 0131E, 0133E, 0141E



Figure 9: Transect Location Map, continued



5.4 Alluvial Fan Analyses

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

Table 18: Summary of Alluvial Fan Analyses[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Table 19: Results of Alluvial Fan Analyses[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

SECTION 6.0 – MAPPING METHODS

6.1 Vertical and Horizontal Control

All FIS Reports and FIRMs are referenced to a specific vertical datum. The vertical datum provides a starting point against which flood, ground, and structure elevations can be referenced and compared. Until recently, the standard vertical datum used for newly created or revised FIS Reports and FIRMs was the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD29). With the completion of the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88), many FIS Reports and FIRMs are now prepared using NAVD88 as the referenced vertical datum.

Flood elevations shown in this FIS Report and on the FIRMs are referenced to NAVD88. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between NGVD29 and NAVD88 or other datum conversion, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at www.ngs.noaa.gov, or contact the National Geodetic Survey (NGS) at the following address:

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12 National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3282 (301) 713-3242

Temporary vertical monuments are often established during the preparation of a flood hazard analysis for the purpose of establishing local vertical control. Although these monuments are not shown on the FIRM, they may be found in the archived project documentation associated with the FIS Report and the FIRMs for this community. Interested individuals may contact FEMA to access these data.

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for benchmarks in the area, please contact information services Branch of the NGS at (301) 713-3242, or visit their website at www.ngs.noaa.gov.

The datum conversion locations and values that were calculated for Flagler County are provided in Table 20.

Quadrangle Name	Quadrangle Corner	Latitude	Longitude	Conversion from NGVD29 to NAVD88 (feet)
Beverly Beach	SE	29.625	-81.25	-1.047
Bunnell	SE	29.375	-81.25	-1.056
Codys Corner	SE	29.25	-81.25	-1.060
Crescent City	SE	29.5	-81.125	-1.050
Dinner Island	SE	29.5	-81.375	-1.033
Dinner Island NE	SE	29.375	-81.5	-0.978
Espanola	SE	29.625	-81.375	-1.033
Favoretta	SE	29.25	-81.125	-1.109
Flagler Beach West	SE	29.375	-81.125	-1.089
Hastings	SE	29.625	-81.5	-0.991
Saint Johns Park	SE	29.5	-81.25	-1.053
San Mateo	SE	29.375	-81.375	-1.020
Seville	SE	29.25	-81.375	-1.014
Spuds	SE	29.5	-81.5	-0.991
Average Conversion from NGVD29 to NAVD88 = -1.037 feet				

Table 20: Countywide Vertie	cal Datum Conversion
-----------------------------	----------------------

Table 21: Stream-Based Vertical Datum Conversion[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

6.2 Base Map

The FIRMs and FIS Report for this project have been produced in a digital format. The flood hazard information was converted to a Geographic Information System (GIS) format that meets FEMA's FIRM database specifications and geographic information standards. This information is provided in a digital format so that it can be incorporated into a local GIS and be accessed more easily by the community. The FIRM Database includes most of the tabular information contained in the FIS Report in such a way that the data can be associated with pertinent spatial features. For example, the information contained in the Floodway Data table and Flood Profiles can be linked to the cross sections that are shown on the FIRMs. Additional information about the FIRM Database and its contents can be found in FEMA's *Guidelines and Standards for Flood Risk Analysis and Mapping*, www.fema.gov/guidelines-and-standards-flood-risk-analysis-and-mapping.

Base map information shown on the FIRM was derived from the sources described in Table 22.

Data Type	Data Provider	Data Date	Data Scale	Data Description
Digital Orthophoto	U.S Department of Agriculture – Farm Service Agency	2013	*	Raster imagery
Political boundaries	Flagler County GIS Department	2015	*	Municipal boundaries
Political boundaries	FEMA	2006	*	County boundaries
Public Land Survey System (PLSS)	Florida Resources and Environmental Analysis Center	2003	1:24,000	PLSS data
Transportation Features	U.S. Census Bureau, Geography Division	2015	*	All transportation features within the study area
Surface Water Features	FEMA	2006	*	Streams, rivers, and lakes were supplied from Flood Insurance Study, Flagler County and Incorporated Areas 2006
Coastal Barrier Resources System	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	2015	*	Coastal Barrier Resources System Units

Table 22: Base Map Sources

*Data not available

6.3 Floodplain and Floodway Delineation

The FIRM shows tints, screens, and symbols to indicate floodplains and floodways as well as the locations of selected cross sections used in the hydraulic analyses and floodway computations.

For riverine flooding sources, the mapped floodplain boundaries shown on the FIRM have been delineated using the flood elevations determined at each cross section; between cross sections, the boundaries were interpolated using the topographic elevation data described in Table 23. For each coastal flooding source studied as part of this FIS Report, the mapped floodplain boundaries on the FIRM have been delineated using the flood and wave elevations determined at each transect; between transects, boundaries were delineated using land use and land cover data, the topographic elevation data described in Table 23, and knowledge of coastal flood processes. In ponding areas, flood elevations were determined at each junction of the model; between junctions, boundaries were interpolated using the topographic elevation data described in Table 23.

In cases where the 1% and 0.2% annual chance floodplain boundaries are close together, only the 1% annual chance floodplain boundary has been shown. Small areas within the floodplain boundaries may lie above the flood elevations but cannot be shown due to limitations of the map scale and/or lack of detailed topographic data.

The floodway widths presented in this FIS Report and on the FIRM were computed for certain stream segments on the basis of equal conveyance reduction from each side of the floodplain. Floodway widths were computed at cross sections. Between cross sections, the floodway boundaries were interpolated. Table 2 indicates the flooding sources for which floodways have been determined. The results of the floodway computations for those flooding sources have been tabulated for selected cross sections and are shown in Table 24, "Floodway Data."

Certain flooding sources may have been studied that do not have published BFEs on the FIRMs, or for which there is a need to report the 1% annual chance flood elevations at selected cross sections because a published Flood Profile does not exist in this FIS Report. These streams may have also been studied using methods to determine non-encroachment zones rather than floodways. For these flooding sources, the 1% annual chance floodplain boundaries have been delineated using the flood elevations determined at each cross section; between cross sections, the boundaries were interpolated using the topographic elevation data described in Table 23. All topographic data used for modeling or mapping has been converted as necessary to NAVD88. The 1% annual chance elevations for selected cross sections along these flooding sources, along with their non-encroachment widths, if calculated, are shown in Table 25, "Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams."

Table 23: Summar	y of Topographic Elevation Dat	a used in Mapping
------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------

		Source for Topographic Elevation Data							
Community	Flooding Source	Description	Scale	Contour Interval	RMSEz	Accuracyz	Citation		
Flagler County	Atlantic Ocean	LiDAR	N/A	N/A	18 cm	35.28 cm	Merrick & Co., 2004		

BFEs shown at cross sections on the FIRM represent the 1% annual chance water surface elevations shown on the Flood Profiles and in the Floodway Data tables in the FIS Report. Rounded whole-foot elevations may be shown on the FIRM in coastal areas, areas of ponding, and other areas with static base flood elevations.

	LOCAT	ΓΙΟΝ		FLOODWAY		1% ANNU	AL CHANCE FLO ELEVATION (FI		RFACE
	CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/ SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
	A B C D E F G H I J K L M N	al and riverine ef	fects from Atla	ntic Ocean and			5.5^3 8.9 12.8 17.8 21.4 21.8 22.9 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0	$\begin{array}{c} 6.2 \\ 6.3 \\ 9.5 \\ 13.5 \\ 17.9 \\ 22.0 \\ 22.3 \\ 22.4 \\ 23.5 \\ 23.5 \\ 23.5 \\ 23.5 \\ 23.5 \\ 23.5 \\ 23.5 \\ 23.5 \\ 23.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ \end{array}$
TABLE						Fl		DATA	
LE 24		LER COUN		IDA	F		URCE: BIG MI		ANCH

Table 24: Floodway Data

	LOCATI	ON		FLOODWAY			AL CHANCE FLC ELEVATION (FE		RFACE
	CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
¹ F	A B C D E F G H I J	0 3,500 6,109 9,478 11,708 14,046 16,123 18,444 21,031 22,494	1,718 1,366 1,204 1,707 300 67 900 894 353 589	10,517 6,559 6,962 8,815 1,555 403 3,417 301 576 1,469	0.47 0.76 0.62 0.39 2.24 3.38 0.36 3.66 1.65 0.59	10.9 11.1 11.3 11.3 11.7 11.9 12.4 13.7 14.6 14.7	10.9 11.1 11.3 11.7 11.7 11.9 12.4 13.7 14.6 14.7	11.9 12.1 12.3 12.7 12.7 12.9 13.2 13.8 14.8 15.2	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 0.8\\ 0.1\\ 0.2\\ 0.5\\ \end{array} $
	FEDERAL EM	ERGENCY MA	NAGEMENT	AGENCY		FL	.OODWAY [ΟΑΤΑ	
	FLAGLER COUNTY, FLORIDA AND INCORPORATED AREAS			FLOODING SOURCE: BLACK BRANCH					

	LOCAT	ION		FLOODWAY		1% ANNU	AL CHANCE FLO	OOD WATER SU	RFACE
	CROSS	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/SEC)	REGULATORY	ELEVATION (FE WITHOUT FLOODWAY	ET NAVD88) WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
	A B C D E F ¹ Feet above mout ² Value is inaccura		4,408 2,084 1,592 2,341 2,300 47 ² ////////////////////////////////////	25,950 9,685 7,686 11,943 11,156 111	0.06 0.13 0.14 0.07 0.06 5.13	10.9 10.9 11.0 11.0 12.9 pographic-based f	10.9 10.9 11.0 11.0 12.9 loodplain redeline	11.8 11.8 11.8 11.8 13.1	0.9 0.9 0.8 0.8 0.2
TA	FEDERAL EN	IERGENCY MA	NAGEMENT	AGENCY		FL	.OODWAY [ΟΑΤΑ	
TABLE 24		ER COUN	-	IDA		FLOODING SC			AMP

	LOCAT	ION		FLOODWAY		1% ANNU	AL CHANCE FLO ELEVATION (FE		RFACE
	CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
	A B C D E F G H	18,555 19,756 20,898 23,480 25,369 28,599 31,195 33,775	82 55 48 54 44 52 74	405 383 323 413 370 238 232 414	6.6 6.19 6.47 3.48 3.43 4.12 3.21 1.24	6.3 9.1 10.8 13.0 13.7 15.5 17.2 17.8	6.3 9.1 10.8 13.0 13.7 15.5 17.2 17.8	6.8 9.4 10.9 13.0 13.7 15.5 17.2 17.8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$
		IERGENCY MA				FL	.OODWAY [ΟΑΤΑ	
н 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ER COUN	•	IDA -		FLOODIN	G SOURCE: E	BULL CREEK	

	LOCAT	ΓΙΟΝ		FLOODWAY		1% ANNU	AL CHANCE FLO ELEVATION (FE	DOD WATER SU EET NAVD88)	RFACE
	CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
	A B C D E F G H	710 2,002 4,578 7,184 9,833 12,476 15,141 17,748 uence with Bull 0	39 68 878 51 67 176 1,114 657 Creek	246 359 3,438 352 370 799 4,708 1,892	7.55 5.17 0.54 5.27 5.1 2.36 0.05 0.13	10.9 13.5 17.8 20.0 22.0 22.8 22.8 22.8 22.8	10.9 13.5 17.8 20.0 22.0 22.8 22.8 22.8 22.8	11.0 13.6 18.0 20.0 22.0 22.8 22.8 23.0	0.1 0.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2
1	FEDERAL EI		NAGEMENT	AGENCY		FI	OODWAY	ΠΔΤΔ	
		LER COUN	·	IDA	F				ITARY

LOCAT	ION		FLOODWAY		1% ANNU	AL CHANCE FLO ELEVATION (FE	DOD WATER SU	RFACE
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
A B C D E F G H I J J		800 1,500 621 341 423 568 620 245 200 31	4,627 8,073 3,643 2,804 2,878 3,984 3,242 1,032 672 156	0.48 0.24 0.53 0.69 0.68 0.49 0.60 1.48 1.11 4.78 Bulow Creek	5.2^2 5.2^2 5.4^2 5.5^2 5.5^2 6.7^2 10.7 13.9 20.2	5.1 5.4 5.4 5.5 5.7 6.8 10.7 13.9 20.2	6.1 6.4 6.4 6.5 6.7 7.7 11.6 14.4 20.2	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.5 0.0
	MERGENCY MA				FL	OODWAY	DATA	
	LER COUN	·	IDA	 	FLOODING	G SOURCE: B		ĸ

	LOCAT	ION		FLOODWAY	,	1% ANNU	AL CHANCE FLO ELEVATION (FE	OOD WATER SU EET NAVD88)	RFACE
	CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
	A B C	0 2,300 4,700	346 103 226	1,345 372 354	1.14 2.06 1.5	11.0 16.3 19.0	11.0 16.3 19.0	12.0 16.8 19.0	1.0 0.5 0.0
	¹ Feet above conflu								
1		FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AG				FL	OODWAY [ΟΑΤΑ	
1		DINCORPORA			FL	OODING SOUF	RCE: BULOW	CREEK TRIB	UTARY

LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		FLOODWAY		1% ANNU	AL CHANCE FLO ELEVATION (FE		RFACE
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
	5,190 12,056 20,567 23,928 27,809 30,372 33,009 36,889 41,076 45,311 48,644 51,380		39,423 15,982 9,443 17,928 14,252 2,417 4,363 28,965 32,976 20,103 22,521 21,628	0.21 0.71 1.63 0.63 0.79 3.23 1.79 0.27 0.24 0.39 0.35 0.34	6.3 6.4 6.8 7.1 7.3 9.5 10.6 10.7 10.7 10.8 10.9	6.3 ² 6.4 6.8 7.1 7.3 9.5 10.6 10.7 10.7 10.8 10.9	7.2 7.3 7.8 8.1 8.2 10.5 11.3 11.4 11.5 11.6 11.7	0.9 0.9 0.9 1.0 1.0 0.9 1.0 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.8 0.8 0.8
 FEDERAL E	MERGENCY MA	NAGEMENT	AGENCY		FL	.OODWAY I	ΔΤΑ	
	LER COUN	·	IDA					

LOCAT	ION		FLOODWAY	,	1% ANNU	AL CHANCE FLO ELEVATION (FE	OOD WATER SU EET NAVD88)	RFACE	
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE	
A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P	0 1,478 4,808 5,268 7,617 10,017 13,187 16,837 20,217 26,617 27,847 28,669 32,539 37,589 40,289 46,389	269 343 484 270 1,374 2,587 758 1,370 1,526 400 300 241 645 1,218 1,075 1,542	1,923 $2,182$ $2,736$ $2,097$ $7,002$ $14,352$ $3,626$ $6,858$ $6,959$ $1,264$ $1,349$ $1,320$ $3,130$ $3,743$ $4,240$ $5,281$	2.6 2.3 1.8 2.4 0.7 0.3 1.2 0.6 0.6 2.8 2.6 2.7 1.1 0.8 0.7 0.6	12.1 12.6 13.9 14.0 14.5 14.6 14.9 15.6 16.1 19.5 21.2 22.2 23.8 25.1 25.8 28.1	$12.1 \\ 12.6 \\ 13.9 \\ 14.0 \\ 14.5 \\ 14.6 \\ 14.9 \\ 15.6 \\ 16.1 \\ 19.5 \\ 21.2 \\ 22.2 \\ 23.8 \\ 25.1 \\ 25.8 \\ 28.1 \\ 28.1 \\ 1000 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 13.1\\ 13.5\\ 14.9\\ 15.0\\ 15.5\\ 15.6\\ 15.9\\ 16.6\\ 17.1\\ 20.2\\ 21.8\\ 22.8\\ 24.6\\ 26.0\\ 26.7\\ 29.1\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 0.9\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 0.7\\ 0.6\\ 0.6\\ 0.8\\ 0.9\\ 0.9\\ 1.0\\ \end{array} $	
¹ Feet above State									
	MERGENCY MA				FL	OODWAY I	DATA		
	D INCORPORA	•		FLOODING SOURCE: MIDDLE HAW CREEK					

LOCAT	ION		FLOODWAY		1% ANNU	AL CHANCE FLO ELEVATION (FE		RFACE
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
A B C			1,650 1,875 2,351	0.8 0.6 0.4	14.5 14.5 14.7	12.5 ² 14.4 ² 14.7	13.5 15.4 15.7	1.0 1.0 1.0
	IERGENCY MA							
					FL	OODWAY [ΟΑΤΑ	
	AND INCORPORATED AREAS		FLOODING SOURCE: MIDDLE HAW CREEK TRIBUTARY NO. 1					

LOC	ATION		FLOODWAY	,	1% ANNU	AL CHANCE FLO ELEVATION (FE	OOD WATER SU EET NAVD88)	RFACE
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
A B C D	2,500 2,980 7,054 7,454	150 109 253 106	410 246 773 329	1.0 1.7 0.3 0.7	26.6 27.6 28.8 29.2	26.6 27.6 28.8 29.2	27.6 28.6 29.8 30.2	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
¹ Feet above co	nfluence with Midc	le Haw Creek						
	FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY FLAGLER COUNTY, FLORIDA AND INCORPORATED AREAS			FLOODWAY DATA				
				FLOODING SOURCE: MIDDLE HAW CREEK TRIBUTARY NO.				

LOC	ATION		FLOODWAY		1% ANNU	AL CHANCE FLO ELEVATION (FE		RFACE
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
A	7,600	120	693	0.8	13.3	13.3	13.6	0.3
¹ Feet above co	unty boundary		1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>
					FL	OODWAY I	ΔΤΑ	
	FLAGLER COUNTY, FLORIDA AND INCORPORATED AREAS			FLOODING SOURCE: SIXTEENMILE CREEK				

LOCAT	ION		FLOODWAY		1% ANNU	AL CHANCE FLO ELEVATION (FE	OOD WATER SU EET NAVD88)	RFACE
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
A B C D E F G H	85 3,879 6,679 7,199 9,761 15,061 20,339 25,617	718 592 363 503 710 613 1,513 1,253	2,150 2,797 1,897 3,091 2,988 1,590 2,634 2,933	1.3 0.9 1.3 0.8 0.8 1.4 0.9 0.5	16.3 18.2 18.8 19.0 19.4 21.5 23.7 25.7	16.3 18.2 18.8 19.0 19.4 21.5 23.7 25.7	16.9 18.6 19.3 19.6 20.0 22.5 24.5 26.5	0.6 0.4 0.5 0.6 1.0 0.8 0.8
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY					FL	.00DWAY I	ΟΑΤΑ	
FLAGLER COUNTY, FLORIDA AND INCORPORATED AREAS			FLOODING SOURCE: SWEETWATER BRANCH					

	LOCAT	ION		FLOODWAY		1% ANNU	AL CHANCE FLO ELEVATION (FE	DOD WATER SU EET NAVD88)	RFACE
	CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
	A B C D E F G H I I	140 2,480 4,484 7,254 9,315 11,222 13,013 14,599 16,517	59 37 59 57 52 63 735 572 417	329 185 436 206 242 158 3,684 1,196 894	4.01 6.39 2.71 4.46 2.97 2.05 0.04 0.14 0.18	14.2 16.2 19.3 22.1 23.4 25.4 25.5 25.5 25.5	14.2 16.2 19.3 22.1 23.4 25.4 25.5 25.5 25.5	14.2 16.2 19.3 22.1 23.4 25.4 25.5 25.5 25.6	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1
I	FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY FLAGLER COUNTY, FLORIDA AND INCORPORATED AREAS					FL	OODWAY I	DATA	
I				FLOODING SOURCE: WADSWORTH/KORONA CANAL					

Table 25: Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams[Not Applicable for this Flood Risk Project]

6.4 Coastal Flood Hazard Mapping

Flood insurance zones and BFEs including the wave effects were identified on each transect based on the results from the onshore wave hazard analyses. Between transects, elevations were interpolated using topographic maps, land-use and land-cover data, and knowledge of coastal flood processes to determine the aerial extent of flooding. Sources for topographic data are shown in Table 23.

Zone VE is subdivided into elevation zones and BFEs are provided on the FIRM.

The limit of Zone VE shown on the FIRM is defined as the farthest inland extent of any of these criteria (determined for the 1% annual chance flood condition):

- The *primary frontal dune zone* is defined in 44 CFR Section 59.1 of the NFIP regulations. The primary frontal dune represents a continuous or nearly continuous mound or ridge of sand with relatively steep seaward and landward slopes that occur immediately landward and adjacent to the beach. The primary frontal dune zone is subject to erosion and overtopping from high tides and waves during major coastal storms. The inland limit of the primary frontal dune zone occurs at the point where there is a distinct change from a relatively steep slope to a relatively mild slope.
- The *wave runup zone* occurs where the (eroded) ground profile is 3.0 feet or more below the 2-percent wave runup elevation.
- The *wave overtopping splash zone* is the area landward of the crest of an overtopped barrier, in cases where the potential 2-percent wave runup exceeds the barrier crest elevation by 3.0 feet or more.
- The *breaking wave height zone* occurs where 3-foot or greater wave heights could occur (this is the area where the wave crest profile is 2.1 feet or more above the total stillwater elevation).
- The *high-velocity flow zone* is landward of the overtopping splash zone (or area on a sloping beach or other shore type), where the product of depth of flow times the flow velocity squared (hv²) is greater than or equal to 200 ft³/sec². This zone may only be used on the Pacific Coast.

The SFHA boundary indicates the limit of SFHAs shown on the FIRM as either "V" zones or "A" zones.

Table 26 indicates the coastal analyses used for floodplain mapping and the criteria used to determine the inland limit of the open-coast Zone VE and the SFHA boundary at each transect.

		Wave Runup Analysis	Wave Height Analysis		
Coastal Transect	Primary Frontal Dune (PFD) Identified	Zone Designation and BFE (ft NAVD88)	Zone Designation and BFE (ft NAVD88)	Zone VE Limit	SFHA Boundary
1		VE 11	VE 11 AE 3-5	Runup	SWEL
2		VE 11	VE 11 AE 3-5	Runup	SWEL
3		VE 11	VE 11 AE 3-5	Runup	SWEL
4		VE 11	VE 11 AE 3-5	Runup	SWEL
5		VE 11	VE 11 AE 4-5	Runup	SWEL
6		VE 11	VE 11 AE 3-4	Runup	SWEL
7		VE 11	VE 11 AE 4-5	Runup	SWEL
8		VE 11	VE 11 AE 3-5	Runup	SWEL
9		VE 11	VE 11 AE 3-4	Runup	SWEL
10		VE 11	VE 11 AE 3-4	Runup	SWEL
11		VE 12	VE 11 AE 3-4	Runup	SWEL
12		VE 11	VE 11 AE 3-5	Runup	SWEL
13		VE 11	VE 11 AE 3-5	Runup	SWEL
14		VE 11	VE 11 AE 4-5	Runup	SWEL
15		VE 11	VE 11 AE 3-5	Runup	SWEL
16	~	VE 11	VE 11 AE 4-5	PFD	SWEL
17		VE 12	VE 12 AE 4-5	Runup	SWEL

Table 26: Summary of Coastal Transect Mapping Considerations

		Wave Runup Analysis	Wave Height Analysis		
Coastal Transect	Primary Frontal Dune (PFD) Identified	Zone Designation and BFE (ft NAVD88)	Zone Designation and BFE (ft NAVD88)	Zone VE Limit	SFHA Boundary
18	~	VE 11	VE 11 AE 4-5	PFD	SWEL
19	\checkmark	VE 11	VE 11 AE 4-5	PFD	SWEL
20	~	VE 12	VE 12 AE 4-5	PFD	SWEL
21	\checkmark	VE 10	VE 10-11 AE 4-5	PFD	SWEL
22	~	VE 11	VE 11 AE 4-5	PFD	SWEL
23	~	VE 11	VE 11 AE 4-5	PFD	SWEL
24	~	VE 11	VE 11	PFD	PFD
25	\checkmark	VE 11	VE 11 AE 5-6	PFD	SWEL
26	\checkmark	VE 11 AO 2	VE 11	PFD	Overtopping
27	~	VE 10 AO 1	VE 10-11	PFD	Overtopping
28	~	VE 11	VE 11 AE 6	PFD	SWEL
29	~	VE 10 AO 1	VE 10-11 AE 6-8	PFD	Overtopping
30	~	VE 10 AO 1	VE 10-11 AE 6-8	PFD	Overtopping
31	~	VE 10 AO 2	VE 10-11 AE 6-8	PFD	Overtopping
32	~	VE 11	VE 11 AE 6-8	PFD	SWEL
33	~	VE 11 AO 1	VE 11 AE 5-8	PFD	Overtopping
34	~	VE 11 AO 1	VE 11 AE 5-8	PFD	Overtopping
35	\checkmark	VE 10 AO 2	VE 11-12 AE 6-8	PFD	Overtopping

 Table 26: Summary of Coastal Transect Mapping Considerations, continued

		Wave Runup Wave Height Analysis Analysis				
Coastal Transect	Primary Frontal Dune (PFD) Identified	Zone Designation and BFE (ft NAVD88)	Zone Designation and BFE (ft NAVD88)	Zone VE Limit	SFHA Boundary	
36	~	VE 15 AO 1	VE 15 AE 6-7	PFD	Overtopping	
36A	\checkmark	VE 15 AO 1	VE 15 AE 6	PFD	SWEL	
37*		N/A	AE 6-7	N/A	SWEL	
38*		N/A	AE 6-7	N/A	SWEL	

Table 26: Summary of Coastal Transect Mapping Considerations, continued

*Transect originates in St. Johns County, Florida. See St. Johns County FIS Report.

6.5 **FIRM Revisions**

This FIS Report and the FIRM are based on the most up-to-date information available to FEMA at the time of its publication; however, flood hazard conditions change over time. Communities or private parties may request flood map revisions at any time. Certain types of requests require submission of supporting data. FEMA may also initiate a revision. Revisions may take several forms, including Letters of Map Amendment (LOMAs), Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill (LOMR-Fs), Letters of Map Revision (LOMRs) (referred to collectively as Letters of Map Change (LOMCs)), Physical Map Revisions (PMRs), and FEMA-contracted restudies. These types of revisions are further described below. Some of these types of revisions do not result in the republishing of the FIS Report. To assure that any user is aware of all revisions, it is advisable to contact the community repository of flood-hazard data (shown in Table 31, "Map Repositories").

6.5.1 Letters of Map Amendment

A LOMA is an official revision by letter to an effective NFIP map. A LOMA results from an administrative process that involves the review of scientific or technical data submitted by the owner or lessee of property who believes the property has incorrectly been included in a designated SFHA. A LOMA amends the currently effective FEMA map and establishes that a specific property is not located in a SFHA. A LOMA cannot be issued for properties located on the PFD (primary frontal dune).

To obtain an application for a LOMA, visit www.fema.gov/floodplain-management/letter-mapamendment-loma and download the form "MT-1 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional and Final Letters of Map Amendment and Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill". Visit the "Flood Map-Related Fees" section to determine the cost, if any, of applying for a LOMA.

FEMA offers a tutorial on how to apply for a LOMA. The LOMA Tutorial Series can be accessed at www.fema.gov/online-tutorials.

For more information about how to apply for a LOMA, call the FEMA Map Information eXchange; toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627).

6.5.2 Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill

A LOMR-F is an official revision by letter to an effective NFIP map. A LOMR-F states FEMA's determination concerning whether a structure or parcel has been elevated on fill above the base flood elevation and is, therefore, excluded from the SFHA.

Information about obtaining an application for a LOMR-F can be obtained in the same manner as that for a LOMA, by visiting www.fema.gov/floodplain-management/letter-map-amendment-loma for the "MT-1 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional and Final Letters of Map Amendment and Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill" or by calling the FEMA Map Information eXchange, toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627). Fees for applying for a LOMR-F, if any, are listed in the "Flood Map-Related Fees" section.

A tutorial for LOMR-F is available at www.fema.gov/online-tutorials.

6.5.3 Letters of Map Revision

A LOMR is an official revision to the currently effective FEMA map. It is used to change flood zones, floodplain and floodway delineations, flood elevations and planimetric features. All requests for LOMRs should be made to FEMA through the chief executive officer of the community, since it is the community that must adopt any changes and revisions to the map. If the request for a LOMR is not submitted through the chief executive officer of the community, evidence must be submitted that the community has been notified of the request.

To obtain an application for a LOMR, visit www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-programflood-hazard-mapping/mt-2-application-forms-and-instructions and download the form "MT-2 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional Letters of Map Revision and Letters of Map Revision". Visit the "Flood Map-Related Fees" section to determine the cost of applying for a LOMR. For more information about how to apply for a LOMR, call the FEMA Map Information eXchange; toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) to speak to a Map Specialist.

Previously issued mappable LOMCs (including LOMRs) that have been incorporated into the Flagler County FIRM are listed in Table 27.

Case Number	Effective Date	Flooding Source	FIRM Panel(s)
06-04- BW09P	11-30-2006	Bulow Creek	12035C0231E 12035C0232E 12035C0233E 12035C0233E
07-04-1034P	03-30-2007	Bulow Creek	12035C0234E 12035C0242E

Table 27: Incorporated Letters of Map Change

Case Number	Effective Date	Flooding Source	FIRM Panel(s)
07-04-1820P	03-30-2007	Graham Swamp	12035C0129E 12035C0133E 12035C0137E 12035C0141E 12035C0143E
16-04-2729P	09-22/2016	Wetland Area 1	12035C0226E

Table 27: Incorporated Letters of Map Change, continued

6.5.4 Physical Map Revisions

Physical Map Revisions (PMRs) are an official republication of a community's NFIP map to effect changes to base flood elevations, floodplain boundary delineations, regulatory floodways and planimetric features. These changes typically occur as a result of structural works or improvements, annexations resulting in additional flood hazard areas or correction to base flood elevations or SFHAs.

The community's chief executive officer must submit scientific and technical data to FEMA to support the request for a PMR. The data will be analyzed and the map will be revised if warranted. The community is provided with copies of the revised information and is afforded a review period. When the base flood elevations are changed, a 90-day appeal period is provided. A 6-month adoption period for formal approval of the revised map(s) is also provided.

For more information about the PMR process, please visit www.fema.gov and visit the "Flood Map Revision Processes" section.

6.5.5 Contracted Restudies

The NFIP provides for a periodic review and restudy of flood hazards within a given community. FEMA accomplishes this through a national watershed-based mapping needs assessment strategy, known as the Coordinated Needs Management Strategy (CNMS). The CNMS is used by FEMA to assign priorities and allocate funding for new flood hazard analyses used to update the FIS Report and FIRM. The goal of CNMS is to define the validity of the engineering study data within a mapped inventory. The CNMS is used to track the assessment process, document engineering gaps and their resolution, and aid in prioritization for using flood risk as a key factor for areas identified for flood map updates. Visit www.fema.gov to learn more about the CNMS or contact the FEMA Regional Office listed in Section 8 of this FIS Report.

6.5.6 Community Map History

The current FIRM presents flooding information for the entire geographic area of Flagler County. Previously, separate FIRMs, Flood Hazard Boundary Maps (FHBMs) and/or Flood Boundary and Floodway Maps (FBFMs) may have been prepared for the incorporated communities and the unincorporated areas in the county that had identified SFHAs. Current and historical data relating to the maps prepared for the project area are presented in Table 28, "Community Map History." A description of each of the column headings and the source of the date is also listed below.

- *Community Name* includes communities falling within the geographic area shown on the FIRM, including those that fall on the boundary line, nonparticipating communities, and communities with maps that have been rescinded. Communities with No Special Flood Hazards are indicated by a footnote. If all maps (FHBM, FBFM, and FIRM) were rescinded for a community, it is not listed in this table unless SFHAs have been identified in this community.
- *Initial Identification Date (First NFIP Map Published)* is the date of the first NFIP map that identified flood hazards in the community. If the FHBM has been converted to a FIRM, the initial FHBM date is shown. If the community has never been mapped, the upcoming effective date or "pending" (for Preliminary FIS Reports) is shown. If the community is listed in Table 28 but not identified on the map, the community is treated as if it were unmapped.
- *Initial FHBM Effective Date* is the effective date of the first Flood Hazard Boundary Map (FHBM). This date may be the same date as the Initial NFIP Map Date.
- *FHBM Revision Date(s)* is the date(s) that the FHBM was revised, if applicable.
- *Initial FIRM Effective Date* is the date of the first effective FIRM for the community.
- *FIRM Revision Date(s)* is the date(s) the FIRM was revised, if applicable. This is the revised date that is shown on the FIRM panel, if applicable. As countywide studies are completed or revised, each community listed should have its FIRM dates updated accordingly to reflect the date of the countywide study. Once the FIRMs exist in countywide format, as Physical Map Revisions (PMR) of FIRM panels within the county are completed, the FIRM Revision Dates in the table for each community affected by the PMR are updated with the date of the PMR, even if the PMR did not revise all the panels within that community.

The initial effective date for the Flagler County FIRMs in countywide format was 07/17/2006.

Community Name	Initial Identification Date	Initial FHBM Effective Date	FHBM Revision Date(s)	Initial FIRM Effective Date	FIRM Revision Date(s)
Beverly Beach, Town of	06/24/1977	06/24/1977	N/A	01/03/1986	06/06/2018 07/17/2006
Bunnell, City of	07/11/1975	07/11/1975	N/A	01/03/1986	06/06/2018 07/17/2006
Flagler Beach, City of	02/01/1974	02/01/1974	02/06/1976	05/15/1985	06/06/2018 07/17/2006
Flagler County, Unincorporated Areas	01/10/1975	01/10/1975	02/25/1977	02/05/1986	06/06/2018 07/17/2006 07/15/1992
Marineland, Town of	07/08/1977	07/08/1977	N/A	02/19/1986	06/06/2018 07/17/2006

Table 28: Community Map History

Community Name	Initial	Initial FHBM	FHBM	Initial FIRM	FIRM
	Identification	Effective	Revision	Effective	Revision
	Date	Date	Date(s)	Date	Date(s)
Palm Coast, City of ¹	01/10/1975	01/10/1975	02/25/1977	02/05/1986	06/06/2018 07/17/2006 07/15/1992

 Table 28: Community Map History, continued

¹ This community did not have its own FIRM prior to the 2006 countywide FIS. The land area for this community was previously shown on the FIRM for the unincorporated areas of Flagler County, but was not identified as a separate NFIP community. Therefore, the dates for this community were taken from the FIRM for Flagler County.

SECTION 7.0 – CONTRACTED STUDIES AND COMMUNITY COORDINATION

7.1 Contracted Studies

Table 29 provides a summary of the contracted studies, by flooding source, that are included in this FIS Report.

Flooding Source	FIS Report Dated	Contractor	Number	Work Completed Date	Affected Communities
Atlantic Ocean	06/06/2018	BakerAECOM	HSFEHQ- 09-D-0368	July 2015	Beverly Beach, Town of; Bunnell, City of; Flagler Beach, City of; Flagler County, Unincorporated Areas; Marineland, Town of; Palm Coast, City of
All Sources within Town of Beverly Beach	01/03/1986	*	*	*	Beverly Beach, Town of
All Sources within City of Bunnel	01/03/1986	*	*	*	Bunnell, City of
All Sources within City of Flagler Beach	05/15/1985	Tetra Tech	EMW-C- 0724	July 1983	Flagler Beach, City of
All Sources within Flagler County, Unincorporated Areas	02/05/1986	Tetra Tech	EMW-C- 0724	August 1983	Flagler County, Unincorporated Areas

Table 29: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report

Flooding Source	FIS Report Dated	Contractor	Number	Work Completed Date	Affected Communities
All Sources within Flagler County Incorporated Areas	07/17/2006	Taylor Engineering	EMA-97- CO-0137 M-002	October 2001	Flagler County and Incorporated Areas
All Sources within Town of Marineland	02/19/1986	*	*	*	Marineland, Town of

Table 29: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report, continued

*Data not available

7.2 Community Meetings

The dates of the community meetings held for this Flood Risk Project and previous Flood Risk Projects are shown in Table 30. These meetings may have previously been referred to by a variety of names (Community Coordination Officer (CCO), Scoping, Discovery, etc.), but all meetings represent opportunities for FEMA, community officials, study contractors, and other invited guests to discuss the planning for and results of the project.

Table 30: Community Meetings

Community	FIS Report Dated	Date of Meeting	Meeting Type	Attended By
Beverly Beach, Town of	06/06/2018	03/01/2011	Scoping	Representatives of FEMA Region IV, Town of Beverly Beach, and BakerAECOM
		05/05/2016	ссо	Representatives of FEMA Region IV, Town of Beverly Beach, and BakerAECOM
Bunnell, City of	06/06/2018	03/01/2011	Scoping	Representatives of FEMA Region IV, City of Bunnel, and BakerAECOM
		05/05/2016	ссо	Representatives of FEMA Region IV, City of Bunnel, and BakerAECOM
Flagler Beach, City of	06/06/2018	03/01/2011	Scoping	Representatives of FEMA Region IV, City of Flagler Beach, and BakerAECOM
		05/05/2016	ссо	Representatives of FEMA Region IV, City of Flagler Beach, and BakerAECOM
Flagler County, Unincorporated Areas	06/06/2018	03/01/2011	Scoping	Representatives of FEMA Region IV, Flagler County, and BakerAECOM
		05/05/2016	ссо	Representatives of FEMA Region IV, Flagler County, and BakerAECOM
Marineland, Town of	06/06/2018	03/01/2011	Scoping	Representatives of FEMA Region IV, Flagler County, and BakerAECOM
		05/05/2016	ссо	Representatives of FEMA Region IV, Flagler County, and BakerAECOM
Palm Coast, City of	06/06/2018	03/01/2011	Scoping	Representatives of FEMA Region IV, City of Palm Coast, and BakerAECOM
		05/05/2016	ССО	Representatives of FEMA Region IV, City of Palm Coast, and BakerAECOM

SECTION 8.0 – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Information concerning the pertinent data used in the preparation of this FIS Report can be obtained by submitting an order with any required payment to the FEMA Engineering Library. For more information on this process, see www.fema.gov.

Table 31 is a list of the locations where FIRMs for Flagler County can be viewed. Please note that the maps at these locations are for reference only and are not for distribution. Also, please note that only the maps for the community listed in the table are available at that particular repository. A user may need to visit another repository to view maps from an adjacent community.

Community	Address	City	State	Zip Code
Beverly Beach, Town of	Town Hall 2735 North Oceanshore Boulevard	Beverly Beach	FL	32136
Bunnell, City of	City Hall 201 West Moody Boulevard	Bunnell	FL	32110
Flagler Beach, City of	City Hall 105 South Second Street	Flagler Beach	FL	32136
Flagler County, Unincorporated Areas	Planning and Zoning Department 1769 East Moody Boulevard, Building 2	Bunnell	FL	32110
Marineland, Town of	Town Office 9507 North Oceanshore Boulevard	St. Augustine	FL	32080
Palm Coast, City of	Community Development Division 160 Lake Avenue	Palm Coast	FL	32164

Table 31: Map Repositories

The National Flood Hazard Layer (NFHL) dataset is a compilation of effective FIRM databases and LOMCs. Together they create a GIS data layer for a State or Territory. The NFHL is updated as studies become effective and extracts are made available to the public monthly. NFHL data can be viewed or ordered from the website shown in Table 32.

Table 32 contains useful contact information regarding the FIS Report, the FIRM, and other relevant flood hazard and GIS data. In addition, information about the State NFIP Coordinator and GIS Coordinator is shown in this table. At the request of FEMA, each Governor has designated an agency of State or territorial government to coordinate that State's or territory's NFIP activities. These agencies often assist communities in developing and adopting necessary floodplain management measures. State GIS Coordinators are knowledgeable about the availability and location of State and local GIS data in their state.

FEMA and the NFIP					
FEMA and FEMA Engineering Library website	www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-flood- hazard-mapping/engineering-library				
NFIP website	www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program				
NFHL Dataset	msc.fema.gov				
FEMA Region IV	FEMA-R4 (Hollins Building), 3003 Chamblee-Tucker Road, Atlanta, GA 30341 (770) 220-3174				
	Other Federal Agencies				
USGS website	www.usgs.gov				
Hydraulic Engineering Center website	www.hec.usace.army.mil				
S	State Agencies and Organizations				
State NFIP Coordinator	Steve Martin, CFM, State NFIP and Floodplain Manager Florida Division of Emergency Management 2555 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399 - 2100 850-922-5269 steve.martin@em.myflorida.com				
State GIS Coordinator	Richard Butgereit, GIS Administrator Florida Division of Emergency Management 2555 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399 - 2100 Phone: 850-413-9907 richard.butgereit@em.myflorida.com				

Table 32: Additional Information

SECTION 9.0 – BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES

Table 33 includes sources used in the preparation of and cited in this FIS Report as well as additional studies that have been conducted in the study area.

Table 33: Bibliography and References

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	Publication Title, "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
Delft University of Technology	Delft University of Technology, the Netherlands	<i>SWAN User Manual</i> , SWAN Cycle III version 40.51	SWAN Team, Delft University of Technology, the Netherlands		2006	
Federal Emergency Management Agency, 2008	Federal Emergency Management Agency	Tide Gage Analysis for the Atlantic and Gulf Open Coast			December 2008	
FEMA, 2007	Federal Emergency Management Agency	Procedure Memorandum No. 47 – Guidance for the Determination of the 0.2- Percent-Annual-Chance Wave Envelope along the Atlantic Ocean and Gulf of Mexico Coasts			September, 2007	
FEMA, 1986	Federal Emergency Management Agency	Flood Insurance Study, Flagler County, Florida (Unincorporated Areas)		Washington, D.C.	February 1986	
Federal Emergency Management Agency, May 1985	Federal Emergency Management Agency	Flood Insurance Study, City of Flagler Beach, Flagler County, Florida		Washington, D.C.	May 1985	
FEMA, 1981	Federal Emergency Management Agency	Flood Insurance Study, Putnam County, Florida (Unincorporated Areas)			September 1981	

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	Publication Title, "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
Luettich, R.A. and J.J. Westerink		Formulation and Numerical Implementation of the 2D/3D ADCIRC Finite Element Model	Luettich, R.A. and J.J. Westerink		2004	http://www.adcirc.org
Merrick & Co., 2004		Topographic Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) data			2004	
Professional Engineering Consultants, Inc., 1997	Professional Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Stormwater Structure Inventory, Flagler County			July 1997	
Resio, 2007		White Paper on Estimating Hurricane Inundation Probabilities (with contributions from S.J. Boc, L. Borgman, V. Cardone, A. Cox, W.R. Dally, R.G. Dean, D. Divoky, E. Hirsh, J.L. Irish, D. Levinson, A. Niedoroda, M.D. Powell, J.J. Ratcliff, C. Stutts, J.Suhada, G.R. Toro, and P.J. Vickery). Appendix 8-2 (R2007) of USACE (2007), Interagency Performance Evaluation Taskforce (IPET) Final Report.	Resio, D.T.		2007	
State of Florida, 1978	State of Florida, Department of Natural Resources	Flagler Coastal Construction Control Line. Aerial Photographs, Scale 1:1,200			February 1978	

Table 33: Bibliography and References, continued

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	<i>Publication Title,</i> "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
Stepp and Upham, Inc.		Topographic Maps, Scales 1:24,000 and 1:4,800	Stepp and Upham, Inc.		Undated	
Sverdrup & Parcel and Associates, Inc., Project 5089, 1977	ITT Community Development Corporation	A Comprehensive Water Management Plan for Community Wide Drainage for Sections 57-65 – Project 5089	Sverdrup & Parcel and Associates, Inc.		1977	
Sverdrup & Parcel and Associates, Inc., Project 5089A	ITT Community Development Corporation	A Comprehensive Water Management Plan for Community Wide Drainage for Palm Coast Module One Program – North of S.R. 100, Project 5089A	Sverdrup & Parcel and Associates, Inc.		1977	
Tetra Tech, Inc., 1983	Tetra Tech, Inc.	WRE Note 83-5, Flood Insurance Study, St. Johns and Flagler Counties, Florida, Preparation of Hydrology	Shirly Schluchter		1983	
Tetra Tech, Inc., 1981	Tetra Tech, Inc.	Aerial Photographs, Scale 1:2,000			December 1981	
Toro, 2010		"Efficient Joint Probability Methods for Hurricane Surge Frequency Analysis," <i>Ocean</i> <i>Engineering,</i> Vol. 37, pp. 125- 134.	Toro, G., D.T. Resio, D. Divoky, A.W. Niedoroda, C.W. Reed		2010	
USACE, 1976	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	HEC-2 Water-Surface Profiles, User's Manual			November 1976	

Table 33: Bibliography and References, continued

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	<i>Publication Title,</i> "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
USACE, 1974	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center	Application of the HEC-2 Bridge Routines			June 1974	
U.S. Department of the Interior, 1982	U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey	Technique for Estimating Magnitude and Frequency of Floods on Natural-Flow Streams in Florida, (WRI 82- 4012)		Washington, D.C.	1982	
USGS, 1967	U.S. Geological Survey	Water-Supply Paper 1849, Roughness Characteristics of Natural Channels			1967	
U.S. Soil Conservation Service, 1986	Water Resources publications	Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds (Technical Release No. 55)		Littleton, Colorado	1986	
U.S. Water Resources Council, 1976	U.S. Water Resources Council	Bulletin No. 17A, Guidelines for Determining Flood Flow Frequency			March 1976, revised 1977	
Zahn and Glinger Engineering, Inc.	Zahn and Glinger Engineering, Inc.	Drainage Calculations on Smoketalk Ridge Subdivision			1982	

Table 33: Bibliography and References, continued

